IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 1732 OF 2002

BETWEEN

Anand Kumar Agrawal S/o Late Shri Vinayak Prasad Agrawal, aged about 57 years, Resident of Purani Basti Baniyapara, Raipur (CG).

PETITIONER

A N D

1. The Depot Manager,
Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation,
Rajnandgaon , District Rajnandgaon (CG).

2. The Divisional Manager,
M.P.State Road Transport Corporation
Divisional Office, Raipur, District Raipur.

3. The Managing Director,
M.P.State Road Transport Corporation,
Habib Ganj, Bhopal (MP).

4. The Commissioner,
Karamchari Bhavisya Nidhi Office,
Raipur, District Raipur (CG).

5. The Financial Advisor & Chief Accounts Officer,
M.P. State Road Transport Corporation,
Head Office Bhopal (MP).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1732/2002 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

28.10.2002

Shri Bharat Rajput, Counsel for the Petitioner.

In view of the limited nature of the controversy, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this writ petition pending and accordingly the same is being disposed of at the admission stage.

Since the respondents in this petition are Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation and its functionaries, Shri Dhirendra Mishra, who usually appears for them, is asked to take notice.

Heard.

A departmental enquiry has been initiated against the petitioner who is an Accountant working in the Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation. Having been found guilty of charges levelled against him, the respondents terminated his services vide Annexure P-1. According to the petitioner, he had, against his termination, preferred an appeal before the Managing Director vide Annexure P/10/ but no action has been taken thereon. However, on going through the said Annexure, it is found that it was addressed to the Financial Advisor and Chief Accounts Officer and copy thereof was endorsed amongst others, to the Managing Director, Raj Parivahan

पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक ( पुर्वानुबद्ध )

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|



Thus, this cannot be taken as an appeal.

Considering that the order of termination is appealable, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioner prefers an appeal before the Managing Director by 15th November, 2002, the same shall be entertained and disposed of on merits, within a period of three months in accordance with law.

With the direction made above, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M/s(W).P.No.1245/2002 and I.A.No.5676/2002 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P. C. Naik
Judge

Anjani/-

CRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.